

ASPP Mini-Grant Application Review

Primary Applicant: _____

Title: _____

Reviewer: _____

Review Period Deadline: December

July

The following criteria must be met:

The applicant is either an ASPP member (for individual applicants) or organization has at least one confirmed ASPP member (for organizational applicant)

Is this a repeating applicant? Yes No

If a repeating applicant: The applicant fulfilled all previous grant award requirements; this proposal is for new activities. Yes No

Criterion	5	4	3	2	1	0	Multiplier	Total
Rationale for the need for funds related to one of the areas in Section III on ASPP webpage: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Workshop; • Consultation; • Materials; • Policy Work; • Awareness of Profession; • Other (with justification) 	Enhances / expands the practice of school psychology		Relevant to the field of school psychology; maintains, but does not expand the practice of school psychology		Little to no relevance to the field of school psychology	Omitted	X 2	
Alignment with current NASP Practice Model	Alignment to specific NASP Practice Model Domains is clearly identified		Alignment to NASP Practice Model is implied, but Domains are not specifically identified		Little to no alignment with NASP Practice Model Domains	Omitted	X 1	
Implications for the field of school psychology	Activities will have direct influence across many of		Activities will have direct / indirect influence		Activities will have indirect influence across one or	Omitted	X 1	

	the following: educational systems, educators, families, students, others (specify)		across some of the following: educational systems, educators, families, students, others (specify)		few of the following: educational systems, educators, families, students, others (specify)			
Necessity and Reasonableness of Budget			Necessity and reasonableness of costs are clearly outlined and explained	Justification is provided and acceptable, but includes some unnecessary items	Justification for budget items is not included and/or costs are inaccurate	Omitted	X1	
Timeline for grant-funded activities, noting anticipated date that ASPP will receive a summary of grant activities				Timeline for grant activities is feasible; indicates a summary will be provided within 60 days of expenditure of funds	Timeline for grant activities is questionable / unlikely; and/or fails to indicate a summary will be provided within 60 days of expenditure of funds	Omitted	X 1	
Total (out of 25):								

Comments:

Each member of the ASPP Proposal Review Committee will independently review all complete applications and submit totals to the Review Committee Chair. The Committee Chair will aggregate the data, compute an average score for each application, and provide the subsequent rankings to the Committee for final deliberation and endorsement. Applicants will be notified of the status of their applications within 4 weeks of the application due date.