

ASPP Mini-Grant Application Review

Primary Applicant: _____

Title: _____

Reviewer: _____

Review Period: January 15th September 30th

Criterion	2	1	0	Multiplier	Total
Significance/ Implications for the Field of School Psychology	Enhances/expands the practice of school psychology	Relevant to the field of School Psychology	Little to no relevance to the field of school psychology	X 3	
Alignment with the NASP Practice Model	Extremely relevant to the NASP Practice Model	Somewhat relevant to the NASP Practice Model	Little to no relevance to the NASP Practice Model	X 2	
Necessity and Reasonableness of Budget	Necessity and reasonableness of costs are clearly outlined and explained	Justification is provided and acceptable, but includes some unnecessary items	Justification for budget items is not included and/or costs are inaccurate	X1	
Grand Total (Maximum of 12)					

The applicant is an ASPP member (check): _____

The applicant practices or attends graduate school in Pennsylvania (check): _____

The applicant is (circle one): student / faculty / practicing school psychologist

Each member of the ASPP Proposal Review Committee will independently review all complete applications and submit totals to the Review Committee Chair. The Committee Chair will aggregate the data, compute an average score for each application, and provide the subsequent rankings to the Executive Board for final deliberation and endorsement. Applicants will be notified of the status of their applications within 3 weeks of the application due date.